

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,419	HANSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(2) Friedrich Kueffner.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2009

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejection under 35 USC 103 as it applied to claim 6.

Claims discussed:

1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Gram et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to discuss the Gram et al reference and suggest the amendments as set forth in the examiner's Amendment.

The examiner informed Mr. Kueffner that he would maintain the rejections with regards to the teaching of a rigid connection but felt the tank being made integral with the common housing was non-obvious and allowable after further review. The applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment..